12 Jan 2016

## **Planning Committee:**

## **Planning Application Reports – Update Notes**

Listed below are changes to the planning reports made as a result of additional information received since the publication of the agenda for this meeting.

Case Year:	e: Address:	Update:
15/0227	4 St Stephens Avenue	Owners of 8 and 10 St Stephens Avenue maintain their objection to the proposal (in response to revised notification on 7 December 2015)
15/0228	6-8 Carlin Gate	Owners of 8 and 10 St Stephens Avenue maintain their objection to the proposal (in response to revised notification on 7 December 2015)
		Owner of 3 Carlin Gate has made the following comments to the amended plans - I totally oppose the demolition of the boundary wall between 6 and 8 and between 4 and 6 and the loss of garden space effectively giving more area to No. 4. This is contrary to planning laws making the care home at no. 4 well over the maximum 10%. It is also making private garden areas of the block into an open car park. The noise of vehicles parking, reversing revving etc to adjacent residents would become intolerable. This is a underhand way of giving the garden area to No. 4 and making the block of St. Stephens Ave and Carlin Gate one huge nursing home complex in what is essentially a better class residential area.
15/0229	Rear garden area to 6-8 Carlin Gate	Owner of 3 Carlin Gate has made the following comments on the amended plans - I object to No. 4 taking over the rear garden areas of No. 6 & 8 Carlin Gate. This is in contravention of planning laws and the 10% maximum development. This is effectively turning the care homes on St. Stephen's Ave and Carlin Gate into one huge nursing home complex and putting a car park into private garden areas. After nearly 10 years of planning being turned down for this encroachment I cannot see any reason for this application being granted

Owners of 8 and 10 St Stephens Avenue maintain their objection to the proposal (in response to revised notification on 7 December 2015)
Owner of 10 Carlin Gate has made the following comments on the amended plans - This is in contravention of planning laws and the 10% maximum development. Concerned that the parking in the rear garden would impact on his amenity. He maintains his objection to the application.